

UNITED STATES DARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademak Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | | ATT | ORNEY DOCKET NO |
|---------------------------|-------------|----------------------|----------|--------------|-----------------|
| 09/472,6 | 17 12/27 | 99 BOYLAN | | Ţ | BOYLAN-5-13 |
| | | | \neg | EXA | AMINER |
| | | MMC2/0607 | | | |
| LUCENT TECHNOLOGIES INC | | | | VII. B | 1 |
| 600 MOUNTAIN AVENUE | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| P O BOX | 636 - ROO | OM 30-512 | | | Ó |
| MURRAY HILL NJ 07974-0636 | | | 2838 | < | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: | |
| | | | | | 06/07/00 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| 35 | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | 09/472,617 | BOYLAN ET AL. | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Bao Q. Vu | 2838 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| Period for Reply A SUCREMED STATUTORY REPLODED REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 April 2000. | | | | | | | |
| 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-66</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>27-66</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claims are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>12 April 2000</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)□ disapproved. | | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been: | | | | | | | |
| 1. received. | | | | | | | |
| 2. received in Application No. (Series Code / Serial Number) | | | | | | | |
| 3. received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(e). | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 19) Notice of Infor | mary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | Part of Paper No. 3 | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/472,617

Art Unit: 2838

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 2. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 3. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).
- 4. Claims 27-66 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-54 of U.S. Patent No. 5,920,475. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because applicant is claiming similar subject matter of a synchronous rectifier that is utilized to prevent substantial reverse power flow through the rectifier and using an active load-sharing circuit to enable the two power supplies to effectively share the capacity of the circuit.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited prior art discloses the state of the art in the field of synchronous rectifier converter circuitry with prevention of reverse power flow through the rectifier.

Application/Control Number: 09/472,617

Art Unit: 2838

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bao Q. Vu whose telephone number is (703) 308-2318. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Fridays, 8:00AM-5:00PM.

- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter S. Wong can be reached on (703) 305-3477. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7723 for regular communications and (703) 305-7723 for After Final communications.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Bao Q. Vu June 1, 2000

Peter S. Wong

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2800